

MEDICAL POLICY STATEMENT
Georgia Medicaid

Policy Name & Number	Date Effective
Radiofrequency and Microwave Ablation of Tumors-GA MCD-MM-1350	02/01/2024

The MEDICAL Policy Statement detailed above has received due consideration as defined in the MEDICAL Policy Statement Policy and is approved.



C. Definitions

- x **Tumor Ablation** ±Direct application of energy to eradicate or destroy focal tumors. The method of ablation is dependent on the characteristics of the lesion and risk mitigation.
 - o **Microwave Ablation (MWA)** ±Delivery of high-frequency microwave energy to rapidly agitate water molecules in the target tissue; the energy is converted to heat, which causes tissue necrosis.
 - o **Radiofrequency Ablation (RFA)** ±Delivery of radio waves to generate heat and induce tissue destruction in the targeted area.

D. Policy

- I. Microwave ablation for tumor treatment using an FDA approved device is medically necessary when **ANY** (either A or B) of the following indications are met:
 - ALL** Member has primary or metastatic hepatic (liver) tumor and **ALL** the following:
 1. The tumor is unresectable

The MEDICAL Policy Statement detailed above has received due consideration as defined in the MEDICAL Policy Statement Policy and is approved.



1. differentiated thyroid carcinoma (eg, follicular, papillary) with **at least ONE** of the following:
 - a. distant metastasis or persistent disease not amenable to treatment with radioactive iodine
 - b. recurrent disease following treatment of locoregional disease
2. medullary carcinoma with **at least ONE** of the following:
 - a. palliative treatment of symptomatic metastases or progressive disease needed
 - b. patient asymptomatic, with **at least ONE** of the following:
 01. disease metastasis
 02. persistent disease following treatment of locoregional disease
 03. recurrent disease following treatment of locoregional disease
- I. Uterine leiomyomas with **ALL** the following:
 1. laparoscopic ultrasound-guided procedure planned
 2. leiomyomas documented by imaging study (eg, ultrasound) or hysteroscopy)
 3. patient desires uterine conservation
 4. patient is premenopausal
 5. persistent symptoms (3 months or greater in duration) directly attributed to presence of leiomyomas, as indicated by **at least ONE** of the following:
 - a. abnormal uterine bleeding unresponsive to conservative management (eg, hormonal therapy)
 - b. bowel dysfunction
 - c. dyspareunia
 - d. infertility
 - e. iron deficiency anemia
 - f. pelvic pain or pressure
 - g. urinary dysfunction
 6. testing has ruled out other potential causes of symptoms

E. Conditions of Coverage
 NA

F. Related Policies/Rules
 NA

G. Review/Revision History

DATE		ACTION
Date Issued	10/12/2022	
Date Revised	09/27/2023	Annual review: updated references, approved at Committee
Date Effective	02/01/2024	
Date Archived		

The MEDICAL Policy Statement detailed above has received due consideration as defined in the MEDICAL Policy Statement Policy and is approved.



H. References

1. ACG: A-0718 (AC) – Radiofrequency Ablation of Tumor. 27th ed. MCG Health; 2023. Accessed September 14, 2023. www.careweb.guidelines.com
2. Cui R, Yu J, Kuang M, et al. Microwave ablation versus other interventions for hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cancer Res Ther.* 2020;16(2):379-386. doi:10.4103/jcrt.JCRT_403_19
3. Genshaft SJ, Suh RD, Abtin F, et al. Society of Interventional Radiology quality improvement standards on percutaneous ablation of non-small cell lung cancer and metastatic disease to the lungs. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2021;32:1242.e1-1242.e10. doi:10.1016/j.jvir.2021.04.027
4. Glassberg MB, Ghosh S, Clymer JW, et al. Microwave ablation compared with hepatic resection for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma and liver metastases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J Surg Oncol.* 2019;17(1):98. doi:10.1186/s12957-019-1632-6
5. Han Y, Yan X, Zhi W, et al. Long-term outcome following microwave ablation of lung metastases from colorectal cancer. *Front Oncol.* 2022;12:943715. doi:10/3389/fonc.2022.943715
6. Matsui Y, Tomita K, Uka M, et al. Up-to-date evidence on image-guided thermal ablation for metastatic lung tumors: a review. *Jpn J Radiol.* 2022;40(10):1024-1034. doi:10/1007/s11603-022-01302-0.
7. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Hepatobiliary cancers. Version: 1.2023. Issued March 10, 2023. Accessed September 14, 2023. www.nccn.org
8. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Version 3.2023. Issued April 13, 2023. Accessed September 14, 2023. www.nccn.org
9. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Microwave ablation for treating liver metastases [IPG553]. Published April 27, 2016. Accessed September 14, 2023. www.nice.org
10. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Microwave ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma [IPG214]. Published March 28, 2007. Accessed September 14, 2023. www.nice.org
11. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Microwave ablation for primary or metastatic cancer in the lung [IPG716]. Published February 2, 2022. Accessed September 14, 2023. www.nice.org
12. Nelson DB, Tam AL, Mitchell KG, et al. Local recurrence after microwave ablation of lung malignancies: a systematic review. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2019;107(6):1876-1883. doi:10.1016-j.athoracsur.2018.10.049
13. Palussiere J, Chomy F, Savina M, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of stage IA non-small cell lung cancer in patients ineligible for surgery: results of a prospective multicenter phase II trial. *J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2018;13(1):91. doi:10/1186/s13019-018-0773-y

The MEDICAL Policy Statement detailed above has received due consideration as defined in the MEDICAL Policy Statement Policy and is approved.



14. Wang N, Xu J, Wang G, et al. Safety and efficacy of microwave ablation for lung cancer adjacent to the interlobar fissure. *Thorac Cancer*. 2022;13(18):2557-2565. doi:10.1111/1759-7714.14589
15. Wu X, Uhlig J, Blasberg JD, et al. Microwave ablation versus stereotactic body radiotherapy for stage I non-small cell lung cancer: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2022;33(8):964-971.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jvir.2022.04.019

Independent medical review ±September 2022

GA-MED-P-2484807

Issue Date 10/12/2022

Approved DCH 11/06/2023

The MEDICAL Policy Statement detailed above has received due consideration as defined in the MEDICAL Policy Statement Policy and is approved.